ATENT COOPERATION TRACE CT/PTO 16 JUN 20

KAMINING AUTHORITY

PCT

PCT

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To: LEANDRO ARECHEDERRA **EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL COMPANY**

P. O. BOX 219	į	7	WRITTEN OPINION	
BAYTOWN, TX 77522-2149		(PCT Rule 66)		
			(r. 1 Rule 00)	
		Date of Mailing (day/month/year)	2 6 APR 2005	
Applicant's or agent's file reference		REPLY DUE	ithin 1 months/days from	
2003B133E	-	th	e above date of mailing	
International application No. Internation	onal filing date (d	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
	mber 2003 (19.12		20 December 2002 (20.12.2002)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both na	tional classificati	on and IPC		
IPC(7): C08F 2/06,4/44,4/64,4/68 and US C1.: 52	6/70,90,125.7,14	44,185,206,226		
Applicant				
EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL PATENTS INC.				
1. This written opinion is the <u>first</u> (first,	etc,) drawn by tl	nis International Preli	minary Examining Authority.	
2. This opinion contains indications relating	ng to the followin	ng items:		
I Basis of the opinion				
II Priority				
	on with regard to	novelty inventive st	ep and industrial applicability	
IV Lack of unity of invention	on with regard to	noverty, inventive st	cp and modstrial approaching	
V Reasoned statement under F citations and explanations si			, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
VI Certain documents cited			ACKNOWLEDGED PATENT LEGAL ASSISTANT GROUP G. M. CARROLL	
VII Certain defects in the intern	ational application	on		
VIII Certain observations on the	international app	olication	APR 2 9 2005	
3. The applicant is hereby invited to repl	ly to this opinion		EMCLT EMCLT	
When? See the time limit indic	ated above. The	applicant may, before rule 66.2(d).	e the expiration of that time limit styles.	
How? By submitting a writter For the form and the la	reply, accompainguage of the an	nied, where appropri nendments, see Rules	ate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3.	
Also For an additional oppor	rtunity to submit	amendments, see Ru er amendments and/o	le 66.4. r arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis.	
If no reply is filed, the international p	oreliminary exam	ination report will be	established on the basis of this opinion.	
4. The final date by which the internation examination report must be established		ıle 69.2 is: <u>20 April :</u>	2005 (20.04.2005)	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US		Authorized officer	DEBORAH A. THOMAS	
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/ US Commissioner for Patents		Roberto Rábago	PARALEGAL SPECIALIST	
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450			21) 272 1700	
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		Telephone No. (5	/1) 2/2-1/00	



International application No.	
PCT/US051221	

Ί.	Basis of the opinion
1.	With regard to the elements of the international application:*
	the international application as originally filed
	the description:
	pages 1-106, as originally filed
	pages NONE , filed with the demand
	pages NONE, filed with the letter of
	the claims:
	pages 107-121, as originally filed
	pages NONE , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
	pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of
	the drawings:
	pages 1-8 , as originally filed
	pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of
	the sequence listing part of the description:
	pages NONE , as originally filed pages NONE , filed with the demand
	pages NONE , filed with the letter of
_	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the
2.	language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:
	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).
	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
	the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules
	55.2 and/or 55.3).
3	. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written
	opinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:
	contained in the international application in printed form.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
1	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the
	international application as filed has been furnished.
	The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listin has been furnished.
4	The state of the s
-	
	the description, pages NONE
	the claims, Nos. NONE
	the drawings, sheets/fig NONE
5	This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
*	Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to i his opinion as "originally filed."
"	us opinion we originally free.



Internation pplication No. PCT/US03/41221

STATEMENT					•
Novelty (N)	Clair	ms 6,13,16,30-50 ar	nd 54		YES
	Clai	ms <u>1-5,7-12,14,15,</u>	7-29,51-53 and 5	5-62	NO
	61 ·				YES
Inventive Step (IS)		ms <u>6,13,16,30-50 ar</u> ms <u>1-5,7-12,14,15,</u>		5-62	NO
	Ciai	ms <u>1-3,7-12,14,13,</u>	17-29,51-55 and 5	J-02	
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Clai	ms <u>1-62</u>			YE
	Clai	ms NONE			NO
Although not shown in the working example refore, the claims lack novelty over this reference discloses a polymerization method	rence. velty under PC d comprising a	T Article 33(2) as be	ing anticipated by	Suling et al. (autoclave read	(US 4,255,546). ctor wherein the
ymerization medium is refluxed during polyn hough not shown in the working examples, the refore, the claims lack novelty over this refer ims 1-62 meet the criteria set out in PCT Art	nerization (col. he use of a hydernece.	2, line 22 through c	ol. 5, line 42, also nt is specifically s	patented class uggested in th	ms 1-6). e cited text.
ymerization medium is refluxed during polyn hough not shown in the working examples, the refore, the claims lack novelty over this refer times 1-62 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article be made or used in industry.	nerization (col. he use of a hydernece.	2, line 22 through c	ol. 5, line 42, also nt is specifically s	patented class uggested in th	ms 1-6). e cited text.
ymerization medium is refluxed during polyn hough not shown in the working examples, the erefore, the claims lack novelty over this refeatings 1-62 meet the criteria set out in PCT Art	nerization (col. he use of a hydernece.	2, line 22 through c	ol. 5, line 42, also nt is specifically s	patented class uggested in th	ms 1-6). e cited text.
ymerization medium is refluxed during polyn hough not shown in the working examples, the refore, the claims lack novelty over this refer times 1-62 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article be made or used in industry.	nerization (col. he use of a hydernece.	2, line 22 through c	ol. 5, line 42, also nt is specifically s	patented class uggested in th	ms 1-6). e cited text.
ymerization medium is refluxed during polyn hough not shown in the working examples, the erefore, the claims lack novelty over this refeating 1-62 meet the criteria set out in PCT Art be made or used in industry.	nerization (col. he use of a hydernece.	2, line 22 through c	ol. 5, line 42, also nt is specifically s	patented class uggested in th	ms 1-6). e cited text.
ymerization medium is refluxed during polyn hough not shown in the working examples, the refore, the claims lack novelty over this refer times 1-62 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article be made or used in industry.	nerization (col. he use of a hydernece.	2, line 22 through c	ol. 5, line 42, also nt is specifically s	patented class uggested in th	ms 1-6). e cited text.
ymerization medium is refluxed during polyn hough not shown in the working examples, the refore, the claims lack novelty over this refer times 1-62 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article be made or used in industry.	nerization (col. he use of a hydernece.	2, line 22 through c	ol. 5, line 42, also nt is specifically s	patented class uggested in th	ms 1-6). e cited text.
ymerization medium is refluxed during polyn hough not shown in the working examples, the refore, the claims lack novelty over this refer times 1-62 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article be made or used in industry.	nerization (col. he use of a hydernece.	2, line 22 through c	ol. 5, line 42, also nt is specifically s	patented class uggested in th	ms 1-6). e cited text.
ymerization medium is refluxed during polynhough not shown in the working examples, therefore, the claims lack novelty over this reference. The claims lack novelty over this reference in the claims lack novelty over this reference in the criteria set out in PCT Article be made or used in industry.	nerization (col. he use of a hydernece.	2, line 22 through c	ol. 5, line 42, also nt is specifically s	patented class uggested in th	ms 1-6). e cited text.
ymerization medium is refluxed during polynhough not shown in the working examples, the refore, the claims lack novelty over this referms 1-62 meet the criteria set out in PCT Artible made or used in industry.	nerization (col. he use of a hydernece.	2, line 22 through c	ol. 5, line 42, also nt is specifically s	patented class uggested in th	ms 1-6). e cited text.
ymerization medium is refluxed during polynhough not shown in the working examples, therefore, the claims lack novelty over this reference. The claims lack novelty over this reference in the claims lack novelty over this reference in the criteria set out in PCT Article be made or used in industry.	nerization (col. he use of a hydernece.	2, line 22 through c	ol. 5, line 42, also nt is specifically s	patented class uggested in th	ms 1-6). e cited text.
ymerization medium is refluxed during polyn hough not shown in the working examples, the refore, the claims lack novelty over this refer times 1-62 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article be made or used in industry.	nerization (col. he use of a hydernece.	2, line 22 through c	ol. 5, line 42, also nt is specifically s	patented class uggested in th	ms 1-6). e cited text.
ymerization medium is refluxed during polyn hough not shown in the working examples, the refore, the claims lack novelty over this refer times 1-62 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article be made or used in industry.	nerization (col. he use of a hydernece.	2, line 22 through c	ol. 5, line 42, also nt is specifically s	patented class uggested in th	ms 1-6). e cited text.
ymerization medium is refluxed during polyn hough not shown in the working examples, the refore, the claims lack novelty over this refer times 1-62 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article be made or used in industry.	nerization (col. he use of a hydernece.	2, line 22 through c	ol. 5, line 42, also nt is specifically s	patented class uggested in th	ms 1-6). e cited text.
ymerization medium is refluxed during polyn hough not shown in the working examples, the refore, the claims lack novelty over this refer times 1-62 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article be made or used in industry.	nerization (col. he use of a hydernece.	2, line 22 through c	ol. 5, line 42, also nt is specifically s	patented class uggested in th	ms 1-6). e cited text.
ymerization medium is refluxed during polyn hough not shown in the working examples, the erefore, the claims lack novelty over this refeating 1-62 meet the criteria set out in PCT Art be made or used in industry.	nerization (col. he use of a hydernece.	2, line 22 through c	ol. 5, line 42, also nt is specifically s	patented class uggested in th	ms 1-6). e cited text.

WRITTEN OPINION

Internal application No. PCT 3/41221

Supplemental B	ox
----------------	----

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

TIME LIMIT:

The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: LEANDRO ARECHEDERRA **EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL COMPANY**

PCT

P.O. BOX 2149 BAYTOWN, TX 77522-2149	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT OR THE DECLARATION
	(PCT Rule 44.1)
	Date of Mailing (day/month/year)
Applicant's or agent's file reference 2003B133E	FOI URT: ER ACTION' See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No. PCT/US03/41221	Intractional filing date (da, conth/year) 19 December 2003 (19.12.2003)
Applicant EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL PATENTS IN.	
1. The applicant is hereby notified that the international sea Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19 The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the cl	is important international analication (see KIRC anti-
••	s normally two months from the date of transmittal of the SSISTANT GROU
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIP 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.	O, 34, chemin des Colombettes D.: (41-22) 740.14.35 NOV 1 0 2004
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the	LUKeminder FMCLT
2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international sea. Article 17(2)(a) to that effect is transmitted herewith.	rch report will be established and that the declaration under BAYTOW
	itional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:
applicant's request to forward the texts of both the	een transmitted to the International Bureau together with the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. pplicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.
4. Reminders	
applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90 by preparations for international publication.	withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, is.1 and 90 bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical
examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone date (in some Offices even later); otherwise the applicant mu acts for entry into the national phase before those designated O	
	oths (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about to Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet si	the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's ate.
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	Authorized officer Rábago Rábago

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Form PCT/ISA/220 (April 2002)

Telephone No. (571) 272-1700

(See notes on accompanying sheet)



From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: LEANDRO ARECHEDERRA EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL COMPANY	PCT		
LAW TECHNOLOGY P.O. BOX 2149 BAYTOWN, TX 77522-2149	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT OR THE DECLARATION		
·	(PCT Rule 44.1)		
	Date of Mailing (day/month/year) 05 NOV 2004		
Applicant's or agent's file reference 2003B133E	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below		
International application No. PCT/US03/41221	International filing date (day/month/year) 19 December 2003 (19.12.2003)		
Applicant EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL PATENTS IN.			
	rch report has been established and is transmitted herewith.		
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19 The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the cl	: laims of the international application (see Rule 46):		
When? The time limit for filing such amendments i international search report.	s normally two months from the date of transmittal of the		
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIP 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.	O, 34, chemin des Colombettes D.: (41-22) 740.14.35		
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the	accompanying sheet.		
2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect is transmitted herewith.			
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:			
the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.			
no decision has been made yet on the protest, the a	Philenia or notified as coon as a decision to master		
4. Reminders Shortly after 18 months from the priority date, the internation	onal application will be published by the International Bureau. If the		

Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.

applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90 bis.1 and 90 bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical

In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

preparations for international publication.

Authorized officer Roberto Rábago

Telephone No. (571) 272-1700

(See notes on accompanying sheet)

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Form PCT/ISA/220 (April 2002)



PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION					
International application No. PCT/US03/41221	International filing date (day/mont 19 December 2003 (19.12.2003)	h/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 20 December 2002 (20.12.2002)			
Applicant EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL PATENTS IN.						
according to Article 18. A copy is being	ng transmitted to the International I	arching Au Bureau.	uthority and is transmitted to the applicant			
This international search report consists It is also accompanie	ed by a copy of each prior art docu	ment cited	in this report.			
language in which it was file	d, unless otherwise indicated under t	his item.	basis of the international application in the			
Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). b. With regard to any nucleotid			international application furnished to this international application, the international			
	nal application in written form.		<u>.</u>			
	ernational application in computer rea	idable form				
	this Authority in written form.	form	·			
	this Authority in computer readable		s not go beyond the disclosure in the			
international application a	s filed has been furnished.					
been furnished.	•	ole form is	identical to the written sequence listing has			
2. Certain claims were four	nd unsearchable (See Box I).					
3. Unity of invention is lack	king (See Box II).					
4. With regard to the title, the text is approved as sul	hmitted by the applicant.		•			
	ned by this Authority to read as follow	ws:	•			
5. With regard to the abstract,			·			
the text is approved as su						
the text has been establish within one month from the	hed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by the ne date of mailing of this international	is Authorit	y as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, port, submit comments to this Authority.			
6. The figure of the drawings to be	published with the abstract is Figure	No				
as suggested by the appli			None of the figures			
because the applicant fail	led to suggest a figure.					
because this figure better	characterizes the invention.					



4	
	mernational application No.
	PCT/US03/41221

A. CLAS	SIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER				
IPC(7) : C08F 2/06,4/44,4/64,4/68					
US CL : 526/70,90,125.7,144,185,206,226					
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC					
B. FIELD	OS SEARCHED				
Minimum doc	umentation searched (classification system followed by	classification symbols)			
	6/70,90,125.7,144,185,206,226				
			•		
D	n searched other than minimum documentation to the e	vent that such documents	are included in	the fields searched	
EAST	n searched other than minimum documentation to the e	Ateni mai such documents	are included in	me neids scarened	
EASI	•			•	
Electronic dat	a base consulted during the international search (name	of data base and, where p	racticable, searc	h terms used)	
23.000.00.00		•			
	•			•	
	TOTAL CONCENTRATE WO BE DELEMANTE				
	MENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	issa afaha salawasi	70000000	Relevant to claim No.	
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where ap			1-5.7-15.17-29.51-	
X	US 4,501,865 A (KONIG et al.) 26 February 1985 (2	0.02.1983) col. 2, line 45	unough coi.	53,55,58-62	
v	5, line 68. US 4,255,546 A (SULING et al.) 10 March 1981 (10	03 1981) col 2 line 22	through col	1-5,7-15,18-29,52-	
X	5. line 42.	.05.1701) coi. 2, inic 22	in ough son	53,55-62	
Α .	US 4,248,988 A (HALASA) 02 February 1981 (03.0)	2.1981) entire document.		1-62	
A	00 4,240,500 ft (IM1E/10/1) 02 1 00/10/19 (10/10/19			·	
A	US 2,548,415 A (WELCH et al.) 10 April 1951(10.0	4.1951) entire document.		1-62	
	,				
	*				
				'	
			İ		
	·				
•					
	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent fam	ilv annex	•	
		<u> </u>		mational filing date or priority	
· s	pecial categories of cited documents:	"T" later document pur date and not in co	onflict with the application	mational filing date or priority ation but cited to understand the	
	t defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be		y underlying the inve		
of particu	ılar relevance	"X" document of parti	cular relevance; the	claimed invention cannot be	
"E" earlier ap	oplication or patent published on or after the international filing date	considered novel	or cannot be consider	red to involve an inventive step	
"L" documen	it which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	when the docume	iit is taken alone	•	
establish	the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as			claimed invention cannot be	
specified				when the document is documents, such combination	
"O" documen	at referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		a person skilled in the		
"P" documen	at published prior to the international filing date but later than the	"&" document membe	er of the same patent	family	
	date claimed				
Date of the a	actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the in	nternational sear	ch report	
	·	051	VOV 2004	•	
	2004 (19.10.2004)	Authorized officer	10 1 LUUT	(L	
	nailing address of the ISA/US		1 11 70	title	
	ail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US mmissioner for Patents	Roberto Rábago	T Well		
P.0	O. Box 1450	Telephone No. (571) 2	J ₂₋₁₇₀₀	Jai	
	exandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Telephone No. (3/1) 2	72-1700	V	
I racsimile N	o. (703) 305-3230	1			

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1998)



PCT

FILE COPY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 2003B133E	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International application No.	International filing date (day/mor	th/year) Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US03/41221	19 December 2003 (19.12.2003)	20 December 2002 (20.12.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification and IPC	
IPC(7): C08F 2/06, 4/44, 4/64, 4/68 and	d US Cl.: 526/70,90,125.7, 144,18	5,206,226
Applicant		
MILNER ET AL.		
1. This international prelimin	nary examination report has bee	n prepared by this International Preliminary
	is transmitted to the applicant a	
2. This REPORT consists of	a total of sheets, including	this cover sheet.
This report is also acc	companied by ANNEXES i.e.	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings
which have been ame	ended and are the basis for this	report and/or sheets containing rectifications made
before this Authority PCT).	(see Rule 70.16 and Section 60	7 of the Administrative Instructions under the
		:
These annexes consist of a 3. This report contains indica	total of sheets.	
J. This report contains indica	dons relating to the following i	tenis:
I Basis of the repo	ort	
II Priority		
III Non-establishme	ent of report with regard to nove	elty, inventive step and industrial applicability
IV Lack of unity of	invention	
V Reasoned statem	nent under Article 35(2) with re	gard to novelty, inventive step or industrial
VI Certain documer	ations and explanations support	ing such statement
	n the international application	
VIII Certain observat	tions on the international application	ation
Date of submission of the demand	Date	of completion of this report
		•
16 July 2004 (16.07.2004)		e 2005 (22.06.2005)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/U Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/ US	Author	to Robono
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	Rober	to Rabago
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Teleph	one No. (571) 272-1700

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US03/41221

FILE COPY

I. Basis of the report	
1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*	
the international application as originally filed.	İ
the description:	
pages 1-106 as originally filed	
pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of	
the claims: pages 108-121, as originally filed	
pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19	
pages NONE, filed with the demand	.
pages 107 , filed with the letter of 27 May 2005 (27.05.2005)	_·
the drawings:	i
pages 1-8, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand	
pages NONE, filed with the letter of	
the sequence listing part of the description:	
pages NONE, as originally filed	
pages NONE , filed with the demand	
pages NONE, filed with the letter of 2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the	
language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:	Ì
the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).	
the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).	
the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Ru 55.2 and/or 55.3).	ıles
3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:	
contained in the international application in printed form.	
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.	
furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.	į
The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in international application as filed has been furnished.	the
The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence I has been furnished.	isting
4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
the description, pages NONE	
the claims, Nos. Nos. Nos.	
the drawings, sheets/fig NONE	
5. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	go
* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred	l to in
this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17 ** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.	カ.



Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box V) (July 1998)

International application No. PCT/US03/41221

FILE COPY

1. STATEMENT Novelty (N) Claims 1-61 Claims 62 NO Inventive Step (IS) Claims 1-61 Claims 62 NO Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-62 Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims 1-62 Claims NONE Claims 1-62 Claims NONE NO 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claim 62 Claims NONE Claims 1-62 Claims NONE NO Claims 1-61 VES Claims NONE NO Claims 1-61 VES Claims NONE NO Claims 1-61 NONE Claims 1-62 NO Claims 1-61 NONE Claims 1-62 NONE Claims 1-62 NONE NO Claims 1-61 NONE Claims 1-62 NONE Claims 1-61 NONE Claims 1-62 NONE Claims 1-62 NONE Claims 1-62 NONE Claims 1-62 NONE Claims 1-61 NONE Claims 1-61 NONE Claims 1-62 NONE Claims	V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Inventive Step (IS) Claims 1-61 Claims 62 NO Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-62 Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claims 62 lacks novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by each individually of Konig et al. (US 4,501,865) and Suling et al. (US 4,255,546). The claim recites a polymer which is devoid of any specific properties, described only in the broad context of its method of manufacture, which itself is substantially unlimited. Accordingly, there is nothing on this record which would indicate that the polymers within the scope of those disclosed and exemplified in the cited references are not within the scope of those claimed. Therefore, the claim lacks novelty. Claims 1-61 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the process wherein the polymerization is a cationic polymerization. As discussed in the prior written opinion, each of Konig et al. (US 4,501,865) and Suling et al. (US 4,255,546) disclose polymerization methods comprising HFC diluent wherein the polymerization medium is evaporated during polymerization. However, these references do not disclose cationic polymerization in combination with these conditions. Claims 1-62 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.	1. STATEMENT				
Inventive Step (IS) Claims 1-61 Claims 62 NO Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-62 Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claims 62 lacks novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by each individually of Konig et al. (US 4,501,865) and Suling et al. (US 4,255,546). The claim recites a polymer which is devoid of any specific properties, described only in the broad context of its method of manufacture, which itself is substantially unlimited. Accordingly, there is nothing on this record which would indicate that the polymers within the scope of those disclosed and exemplified in the cited references are not within the scope of those claimed. Therefore, the claim lacks novelty. Claims 1-61 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the process wherein the polymerization is a cationic polymerization. As discussed in the prior written opinion, each of Konig et al. (US 4,501,865) and Suling et al. (US 4,255,546) disclose polymerization methods comprising HFC diluent wherein the polymerization medium is evaporated during polymerization. However, these references do not disclose cationic polymerization in combination with these conditions. Claims 1-62 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-61	YES	
Claims 62					
Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-62 Claims 1-62 Claims NONE 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claim 62 lacks novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by each individually of Konig et al. (US 4,501,865) and Suling et al. (US 4,255,546). The claim recites a polymer which is devoid of any specific properties, described only in the broad context of its method of manufacture, which itself is substantially unlimited. Accordingly, there is nothing on this record which would indicate that the polymers within the scope of those disclosed and exemplified in the cited references are not within the scope of those claimed. Therefore, the claim lacks novelty. Claims 1-61 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the process wherein the polymerization is a cationic polymerization. As discussed in the prior written opinion, each of Konig et al. (US 4,501,865) and Suling et al. (US 4,255,546) disclose polymerization methods comprising HFC diluent wherein the polymerization medium is evaporated during polymerization. However, these references do not disclose cationic polymerization in combination with these conditions. Claims 1-62 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.	Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	1-61	YES	
Claims NONE 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claim 62 lacks novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by each individually of Konig et al. (US 4,501,865) and Suling et al. (US 4,255,546). The claim recites a polymer which is devoid of any specific properties, described only in the broad context of its method of manufacture, which itself is substantially unlimited. Accordingly, there is nothing on this record which would indicate that the polymers within the scope of those disclosed and exemplified in the cited references are not within the scope of those claimed. Therefore, the claim lacks novelty. Claims 1-61 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the process wherein the polymerization is a cationic polymerization. As discussed in the prior written opinion, each of Konig et al. (US 4,501,865) and Suling et al. (US 4,255,546) disclose polymerization methods comprising HFC diluent wherein the polymerization medium is evaporated during polymerization. However, these references do not disclose cationic polymerization in combination with these conditions. Claims 1-62 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.					
Claims NONE 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claim 62 lacks novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by each individually of Konig et al. (US 4,501,865) and Suling et al. (US 4,255,546). The claim recites a polymer which is devoid of any specific properties, described only in the broad context of its method of manufacture, which itself is substantially unlimited. Accordingly, there is nothing on this record which would indicate that the polymers within the scope of those disclosed and exemplified in the cited references are not within the scope of those claimed. Therefore, the claim lacks novelty. Claims 1-61 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the process wherein the polymerization is a cationic polymerization. As discussed in the prior written opinion, each of Konig et al. (US 4,501,865) and Suling et al. (US 4,255,546) disclose polymerization methods comprising HFC diluent wherein the polymerization medium is evaporated during polymerization. However, these references do not disclose cationic polymerization in combination with these conditions. Claims 1-62 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.	Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-62	YES	
Claim 62 lacks novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by each individually of Konig et al. (US 4,501,865) and Suling et al. (US 4,255,546). The claim recites a polymer which is devoid of any specific properties, described only in the broad context of its method of manufacture, which itself is substantially unlimited. Accordingly, there is nothing on this record which would indicate that the polymers within the scope of those disclosed and exemplified in the cited references are not within the scope of those claimed. Therefore, the claim lacks novelty. Claims 1-61 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the process wherein the polymerization is a cationic polymerization. As discussed in the prior written opinion, each of Konig et al. (US 4,501,865) and Suling et al. (US 4,255,546) disclose polymerization methods comprising HFC diluent wherein the polymerization medium is evaporated during polymerization. However, these references do not disclose cationic polymerization in combination with these conditions. Claims 1-62 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.					
Claims 1-61 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the process wherein the polymerization is a cationic polymerization. As discussed in the prior written opinion, each of Konig et al. (US 4,501,865) and Suling et al. (US 4,255,546) disclose polymerization methods comprising HFC diluent wherein the polymerization medium is evaporated during polymerization. However, these references do not disclose cationic polymerization in combination with these conditions. Claims 1-62 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.	Claim 62 lacks novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as be et al. (US 4,255,546). The claim recites a polymer with its method of manufacture, which itself is substantiall that the polymers within the scope of those disclosed	which is devoid ly unlimited.	d of any specific properties, describ Accordingly, there is nothing on the	ned only in the broad context of is record which would indicate	
can be made or used in industry.	Claims 1-61 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 3 wherein the polymerization is a cationic polymerizatio 4,501,865) and Suling et al. (US 4,255,546) disclose medium is evaporated during polymerization. However, and the set of the control of	on. As discus polymerization	ssed in the prior written opinion, each methods comprising HFC diluent	ch of Konig et al. (US	
NEW CITATIONS	Claims 1-62 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 3 can be made or used in industry.	3(4), and thus	s have industrial applicability becau	se the subject matter claimed	
	NEW CITATIONS				